



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/822,491	04/02/2001	Chen-Jung Chien	HT2000-002	8580
28112 7	7590 01/07/2004		EXAMINER	
GEORGE O. SAILE & ASSOCIATES 28 DAVIS AVENUE			DAVIS, DAVID DONALD	
	SIE, NY 12603		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2652	A
•			DATE MAILED: 01/07/2004	4 <i>A</i>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
•	055' 4-4' 0	09/822,491	CHIEN ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	TI. 1111 IVO DATE 411	David D. Davis	2652					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicator Reply	ition appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence addr	ess				
THE I - External after - If the If NC - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA asions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) to period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will eply received by the Office later than three months after ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, recation. lays, a reply within the statutory minimum ory period will apply and will expire SIX (6, by statute, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this common the mailing date of this common the mailing date of this common the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed	on						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	on Papers	and of old old of roughly of the first						
10) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the E The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objectio Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	D accepted or b) objected or b objected on to the drawing(s) be held in abe correction is required if the draw	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR					
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
a)[* S 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docay. 2. Certified copies of the priority docay. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International ee the attached detailed Office action for cknowledgment is made of a claim for conce a specific reference was included in CFR 1.78. 3. The translation of the foreign language cknowledgment is made of a claim for concern for the complex of the complex of the foreign language.	cuments have been received. cuments have been received he priority documents have b Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). or a list of the certified copies domestic priority under 35 U.S a the first sentence of the spectage provisional application had domestic priority under 35 U.S	in Application No een received in this National Stanot received. S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application or in an Application Dates been received. S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a second received.	oplication) ata Sheet.				
Attachment	• •							
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	.948) 5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15					

Application/Control Number: 09/822,491

Art Unit: 2652

junction.

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- I. Claims 1-7 and 9-14, drawn to a method of forming a spin-valve GMR sensor, classified in class 29, subclass 603.01.
- II. Claim 8, drawn to a spin-valve GMR sensor, classified in class 360, subclass324.12.

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the GMR sensor can be made by a materially different process, such as lapping the abutted junction instead of the process requiring etching the spin-valve GMR sensor element to produce abutted

It should be noted that as the *product* claims are directed to a GMR sensor, per se, the method limitations will only be accorded weight to the extent that they affect the structure of the completed GMR. Note that "[d]etermination of patentability in 'product-by-process' claims is based on product itself, even though such claims are limited and defined by process [i.e., "abutted junctions etched"], and thus product in such claim is unpatentable if it is the same as, or obvious form, product of prior art, even if prior product was made by a different process", *In re Thorpe, et al.*, 227 USPQ 964 (CAFC 1985). Furthermore, note that a "[p]roduct-by-process claim, although reciting subject matter of claim in terms of how it is made [i.e., "abutted junctions etched"] is still product claim; it is patentability of product claimed and not recited

Application/Control Number: 09/822,491

Art Unit: 2652

process steps that must be established, in spite of fact that claim may recite only process limitations", *In re Hirao and Sato*, 190 USPQ 685 (CCPA 1976).

- 2. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 3. A telephone call was made to Stephen B. Ackerman on June 9, 2003 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David D. Davis whose telephone number is (703) 308-1503. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs. and Fri. between 7:30-6:00.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2652

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa T. Nguyen can be reached on (703) 305-9687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

David D. Davis _____ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2652

ddd